



General Editor: Prof. Tamas Kozma (University of Debrecen)

Ethical Guidelines

- ❖ HERJ editors should give unbiased consideration to each manuscript submitted for consideration for publication, and should judge each on its merits, without regard to race, religion, nationality, sex, seniority, or institutional affiliation of the author(s).
- ❖ HERJ keep the peer-review process confidential; information or correspondence about a manuscript should not be shared with anyone outside of the peer review process.
- ❖ HERJ editors reject a submitted manuscript without resort to formal peer review if they consider the manuscript to be inappropriate for the journal and outside its scope.
- ❖ Editors make all reasonable effort to process submitted manuscripts in an efficient and timely manner.
- ❖ Editors arrange for responsibility of the peer review of any original research article authored by themselves to be delegated to a member of the editorial or advisory board as appropriate.
- ❖ Any data or analysis presented in a submitted manuscript should not be used in a journal editor's own research except with the consent of the author.

Ethical Guidelines for Authors

- ❖ All authors must warrant that their article is their own original work, which does not infringe the intellectual property rights of any other person or entity, and cannot be construed as plagiarizing any other published work, including their own previously published work.
- ❖ All authors named on the paper are equally held accountable for the content of a submitted manuscript or published paper.
- ❖ The corresponding author must ensure all named co-authors consent to publication and to being named as a co-author. All persons who have made significant scientific or literary contributions to the work reported should be named as co-authors.
- ❖ Authors must not submit a manuscript to more than one journal simultaneously.
- ❖ Authors should not submit previously published work, nor work which is based in substance on previously published work, either in part or whole.
- ❖ Authors must appropriately cite all relevant publications. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, should not be used or reported in the author's work unless fully cited, and with the permission of that third party.
- ❖ Authors must make available all necessary formal and documented ethical approval from an appropriate research ethics committee, including evidence of anonymization and informed consent from the client(s) or patient(s) studied, if appropriate.
- ❖ Authors must follow national and international procedures that govern the ethics of experimentation on humans and animals. Research reported in the paper must be conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, in full compliance with all relevant codes of experimentation and legislation. All papers which report in vivo experiments or clinical trials on humans or animals must

include a written statement in the Methods section that such work was conducted with the formal approval of the local human subject or animal care committees, and that clinical trials have been registered as legislation requires.

- ❖ Authors must include all appropriate warnings concerning any specific and particular hazards that may be involved in carrying out experiments or procedures described in the article or involved in instructions, materials, or formulae in the article; include explicitly relevant safety precautions, and cite, if an accepted standard or code of practice is relevant, a reference to the relevant standard or code.
- ❖ If required, authors must facilitate access to data sets described in the article.
- ❖ Authors must avoid making defamatory statements in submitted articles which could be construed as impugning any person's reputation.
- ❖ Authors must declare any potential conflict of interest – be it professional or financial – which could be held to arise with respect to the article.
- ❖ Authors must disclose all sources of funding for the research reported in the paper.
- ❖ If asked to provide a list of suggested reviewers, authors must provide the correct details for suitable reviewers with the appropriate experience to review, ensuring that the suggested reviewers do not have a conflict of interest.

Ethical Guidelines for Peer Reviewers

- ❖ Reviewers must give unbiased consideration to each manuscript submitted for consideration for publication, and should judge each on its merits, without regard to race, religion, nationality, sex, seniority, or institutional affiliation of the author(s).
- ❖ Reviewers should declare any potential conflict of interest prior to agreeing to review a manuscript including any relationship with the author that may potentially bias their review.
- ❖ Reviewers must keep the peer review process confidential; information or correspondence about a manuscript should not be shared with anyone outside of the peer review process.
- ❖ Reviewers should provide a constructive, comprehensive, evidenced, and appropriately substantial peer review report.
- ❖ Reviewers must avoid making statements in their report which might be construed as impugning any person's reputation.
- ❖ Reviewers should make all reasonable effort to submit their report and recommendation in a timely manner, informing the editor if this is not possible.
- ❖ Reviewers should call to the journal editor's attention any significant similarity between the manuscript under consideration and any published paper or submitted manuscripts of which they are aware.